

## CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Scot Ross, Executive Director One Wisconsin Now 152 West Johnson Street, Suite 214 Madison, WI 53703

JUL -5 2016

RE: MUR 6829

Dear Mr. Ross:

On June 28, 2016, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in the complaint you filed on May 22, 2014, and the amendments you filed on July 14, 2014 and November 24, 2014, and found that on the basis of the information provided in the complaint and amendments and information provided by the respondents, there is no reason to believe that Senator Ronald H. Johnson, Strategy PAC and James J. Malczewski as treasurer, and Wisconsin Institute for Law and Liberty violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("Act") and Commission regulations.

On that date, the Commission also decided to dismiss the allegation that Ron Johnson for Senate Inc. and James J. Malczewski in his official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee") violated the Act and Commission regulations. The Commission reminded the Committee to report its debts when incurred, to continuously report the amount and nature of its outstanding debts until those debts are extinguished, and to amend its financial disclosure reports accordingly, pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(8) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 104.3(d), 104.11, including "estimated debts," see 11 C.F.R. § 104.11(b). Accordingly, the Commission closed the file in this matter on June 28, 2016.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explain the Commission's findings, is enclosed.

Seet Ross, Executive Director One Wisconsin Now MUR 6829 Page 2

The Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Daniel A. Petalas Acting General Counsel

BY: Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel Complaints Examination and Legal Administration

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
2 3 4 5 6 7	RESPONDENTS: Ron Johnson for Senate Inc.  and James J. Malczewski as treasurer  Senator Ronald H. Johnson  Strategy PAC and James J. Malczewski as treasurer  Wisconsin Institute for Law and Liberty
8	I. INTRODUCTION
10 [1	This matter was generated by a Complaint filed by Scot Ross ("Complainant"), Executive
12	Director of One Wisconsin Now, on May 22, 2014, alleging violations of the Federal Election
13	Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations by Respondents
14	Ron Johnson for Senate Inc. and James J. Malczewski in his official capacity as treasurer
15	(collectively the "Committee"), Senator Ronald H. Johnson ("Senator Johnson"), Strategy PAC
16	and James J. Malczewski in his official capacity as treasurer ("Strategy PAC"), Wisconsin
17	Institute for Law and Liberty ("WILL"). It was scored as a relatively low-rated matter under the
8	Enforcement Priority System, a system by which the Commission uses formal scoring criteria as
19	a basis to allocate its resources and decide which matters to pursue.
20	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
21	Complainant alleges that the Committee failed to timely disclose debts incurred for legal
22	fees related to a suit 1 filed by Senator Johnson against the Office of Personnel Management
23	("OPM") challenging an agency ruling related to the Affordable Care Act. The Complainant

The Complainant does not allege a violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30114(b) ("personal use").

The U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin granted OPM's motion to dismiss the suit for lack of standing, and the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the lower court's decision. *Johnson v. U.S. Office of Personnel Management*, 2014 WL 168,1691 (E.D. Wis. Apr. 28, 2014), aff. d, 783 F.3d 655 (7th Cir. 2015).

Dismissal and Case Closure – MUR 6829 Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 4

- also filed two addenda related to the same issue. 3 Compl. at 1. According to news articles
- 2 submitted with the complaint, Senator Johnson announced the lawsuit at a press conference on
- 3 January 6, 2014, and stated that legal fees for the suit would be paid to WILL, which was
- 4 representing him in the suit, from Committee funds. Compl. at 1-2; see also id., Attach. at 1.
- 5 A joint response filed by Senator Johnson and his Committee asserts that the Committee
- 6 was not required to report the legal fees because the debt was not incurred for an expenditure, as
- 7 defined by the Act and Commission regulations. Joint Resp. at 1-2. The Joint Response asserts
- 8 that expenditures are defined as payments made for the purpose of "influencing any election for
- 9 Federal office," see 11 C.F.R. § 100.111(a), 4 and explains that, pursuant to the Commission's
- 10 guidance in Advisory Op. 1986-09 (Daniel)<sup>5</sup> and 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(2)(vi), the payments to
- WILL were reported on Line 21 of the Detailed Summary Page for the Committee's 2014 July
- 12 Quarterly Report as "other disbursements." Id. According to the Joint Response, the invoice

The first includes what appears to be a copy of WILL's 2013 tax return ("Form 990"). First Compl. Addendum at 4-6. WILL's Form 990 discloses \$10,770 in fees earned in 2013 in connection with Senator Johnson's lawsuit against OPM, although according to the Form 990, the fees were not collected until 2014. *Id.* at 5. In the second Addendum, the Complainant notes that the Committee's 2014 October Quarterly Report discloses a \$12,281.31 disbursement to WILL, and questions whether WILL was being paid the fair market value for services rendered in connection with an appeal it filed on September 1, 2014, in relation to the suit at issue. Second Compl. Addendum at 2. As the record reflects that the Committee ultimately paid WILL approximately \$84,626, see n.6, this Office does not address the allegation further.

As the Joint Response points out, Senator Johnson, who was elected to the Senate in 2010, was not on the ballot in 2014. *Id.* at 1. It is noted, however, on May 20, 2011, the Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") notified the Senator that his campaign committee appeared to have "received contributions and/or made expenditures in support of [his] 2016 candidacy in excess of \$5,000." RAD stated that Senator Johnson could disavow these activities on behalf of his 2016 candidacy by sending a written notification to RAD within 35 days, pursuant to 11 C.F.R. § 100.3(a). The Commission's website discloses no disavowal notice from Senator Johnson.

In Advisory Op. 1986-09, a member of Congress sought Commission guidance as to reimbursing himself with campaign funds for legal fees incurred in connection with an inquiry into his travel expenses by the House Committee on Standards of Official Conduct.

The Committee's 2014 July Quarterly Report, filed on July 14, 2014, discloses a payment to WILL of approximately \$41,413 on June 11, 2014. *Id.* at 319. In addition to the payment of approximately \$12,281 referenced above, see 2014 October Quarterly Report at 331, the Committee disclosed a payment of approximately

Dismissal and Case Closure - MUR 6829 Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 4

- 1 was paid with Committee funds on June 11, 2014, within 28 days of receipt of the invoice, and
- 2 accurately reported within the July Quarterly reporting period. Id.
- 3 WILL's Response explains that in its agreement with the Committee, it provided an
- 4 estimate of legal fees and stated that WILL would not exceed the estimate without consulting
- 5 with the Committee. WILL Resp. WILL also states that it did not require a retainer from the
- 6 Committee and that its fees were based on negotiated hourly rates. *Id.* Both WILL and Strategy
- 7 PAC requested that they be dismissed as respondents because they were not involved with the
- 8 lawsuit. Id., Strategy PAC Resp.<sup>7</sup>
- The Act and Commission regulations require political committees to continuously report
- the amount and nature of their outstanding debts until those debts are extinguished. 52 U.S.C.
- 11 § 30104(b)(8); 11 C.F.R. §§ 104.3(d), 104.11(a)-(b). This reporting requirement applies to
- "estimated debts." 11 C.F.R. § 104.11(b). Debts or obligations of \$500 or less "shall be
- 13 reported as of the time payment is made or not later than 60 days after such obligation is
- 14 incurred, whichever comes first." 11 C.F.R. § 104.11(b). Once the exact amount is determined,
- 15 the political committee shall either amend the report(s) containing the estimate or indicate the
- 16 correct amount on the report for the reporting period in which such amount is determined. *Id*.
- 17 Section 104.11(b) states that a "debt" includes "a written contract, written promise, or written
- 18 agreement to make an expenditure," but it does not exclude other types of Committee debts.

<sup>\$26,325</sup> on October 29, 2014, see 2014 Year End Report at 160, and \$4,607 on April 1, 2015, see amended 2015 April Quarterly Report at 1153, for a total of approximately \$84,626.

The Respondents replied to the Complaint Addenda by reiterating their earlier responses.

Debts or obligations over \$500 shall be disclosed "as of the date on which the debt or obligation is incurred," with the exception of recurring administrative expenses such as salary or rent, and if the exact amount is not known, the report shall state that the amount disclosed is an estimate. *Id.* 

Dismissal and Case Closure – MUR 6829 Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 4

- 1 Cf. 11 C.F.R. § 116.10(a) (disputed debts must be reported when a creditor has provided
- 2 "something of value" to the political committee).
- It appears that the Committee initiated an agreement for WILL's legal services as early as
- 4 2013, but failed to report these debts, or estimated debts, when incurred, despite the fact that
- 5 WILL reportedly provided the Committee with an estimate of expenses. However, the
- 6 Committee ultimately disclosed its payments to WILL. Under all the circumstances presented
- 7 here, the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and dismisses the allegations as they
- 8 pertain to Ron Johnson for Senate Inc. and James J. Malczewski in his official capacity as
- 9 treasurer, pursuant to Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). Further, the Commission
- reminds Ron Johnson for Senate Inc. and James J. Malczewski in his official capacity as
- treasurer, of the obligation to report its debts when incurred, to continuously report the amount
- and nature of its outstanding debts until those debts are extinguished, and to amend its disclosure
- 13 reports accordingly, pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(8) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 104.3(d), 104.11,
- including "estimated debts," see 11 C.F.R. § 104.11(b). The Commission also makes no reason
- 15 to believe findings as to Senator Ronald H. Johnson, Strategy PAC and James J. Malczewski in
- 16 his official capacity as treasurer, and Wisconsin Institute for Law and Liberty, as there is no
- indication that they violated the Act and Commission regulations in this matter.

18

19